**Community Consultation Comments**

| **Issue**  **Number** | **Summary of Issues** | **Council Response** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **1** | Fully support the proposed revisions to the planning document. Glenworth Valley is a major asset not just to the Central Coast but for NSW as a whole, and as long as adequate controls and design standards are in place I have confidence that this operation could continue to develop as a significant tourism operation with minimum impact on surrounding communities. | Noted. |
| **2** | Support changes to planning instruments that provide legal authority to continue current activities on the land parcels in question and that permit camping and caravan activities on land already used for camping, and for enhancement of those facilities, such as building new facilities and improving any associated access roads. | Noted. The use of “caravan park” is separately defined from that of “camping ground” under the GLEP 2014 and CCLEP, and is not proposed to be an additional permitted use in this Planning Proposal. |
| **3** | Do not support changes to the planning instruments that allows removal of native vegetation and that allows construction of additional buildings, other than to support camping and caravan activities. Further urbanisation of the site will:   * Permanently and negatively alter the scenic quality of the area; * Reduce native vegetation cover (too much native vegetation has been lost since European settlement, to the detriment of native flora and fauna, community environmental health); * Create additional high density traffic, during holiday periods, on local roads (no proposed traffic management plan will prevent this from happening, it may only reduce traffic impacts – therefore the development will cause negative impacts on local businesses and residents); * The internal road servicing the site is not suited to additional, dense event related traffic movements – the road in its current form is highly unlikely to support safe and orderly evacuation of pedestrians and vehicles in the event of bushfire – doubt the internal road could be altered to cater for safe and orderly traffic movements due to topography; * Additional development will put greater pressure on adjoining conservation lands owned and managed by the NSW Government as noted by the NPWS. | Noted.  The following additional permitted uses are only proposed to be permitted on cleared land as shown on the APU Map: extensive agriculture, camping grounds, tourist and visitor accommodation and function centre. Any food and drink premises and entertainment facility are only permitted in the existing building or a future extension.  Only eco-tourist facility and recreation facility (outdoor) are proposed to be a permitted use in vegetated areas. Any future development will require development consent and will have to comply with the objectives of the underlying zone and in the case of eco-tourist facility, comply with the requirements of clause 5.13 in the GLEP 2014 or CCLEP.  Transport for NSW raised no objection to the Planning Proposal and are satisfied that traffic matters on surrounding roads can be addressed when Development Applications for specific uses are lodged.  NSW Rural Fire Service raise no objection to the Planning Proposal and are satisfied that evacuation matters can be addressed when Development Applications for specific uses are lodged.  It is unknown at this stage what specific development is proposed nor the location of such development. Should a Development Application be lodged on a lot adjoining a National Park then the adjoining owner (i.e. NPWS) would be advised. Proposed uses located away from the National Park boundary would not be expected to put pressure on land owned by NPWS. |